Tafas v. Dudas et al Doc. 258 Att. 15

Case 1:07-cv-00846-JCC-TRJ Document 258-16 Filed 01/24/2008 Page 1 of 4

EXHIBIT 11

Bahr, Robert

From:

Morse, Gregory

Sent:

Wednesday, February 07, 2007 4:55 PM Dougherty, Elizabeth; Bahr, Robert

To: Cc:

Rolla, Joseph (Contractor)

Subject:

RE: Claims in CONs, CIPs, issues in 2006

Applications that later have CONs/CIPs filed from them tend to have more claims initially. This says that applicants won't disproportionately file CONs/CIPs to get extra claims if we change the rules - they're already doing that. In FY 2006, all filings averaged 20.5 claims; all cases that were the parent of a CON or CIP filed in 2006 (parent probably filed before 2006) averaged 29.0 claims.

For utility patents, 79% had 25 or fewer total claims at time of issue. 86% had 30 or fewer at time of issue, and 93% had 40 or fewer. 7% had more than 40 total claims.

----Original Message----From: Dougherty, Elizabeth

Sent: Wednesday, February 07, 2007 4:40 PM

To: Morse, Gregory; Bahr, Robert

Subject: RE: Claims in CONs, CIPs, issues in 2006

Can you turn this data into bullets points (with accompanying explanatory verbage) for me while I modify the rest of the document?

----Original Message----

From: Morse, Gregory

Sent: Wednesday, February 07, 2007 4:39 PM To: Dougherty, Elizabeth; Bahr, Robert

Subject: Claims in CONs, CIPs, issues in 2006

For all cases filed in 2006, the average number of independent claims was 2.9 and the average number of total claims was 20.5.

For the cases filed in 2006 as CONs or CIPs, the average number of claims ****in the parent case at filing of the parent case**** was 3.9 independent and 29.0 total. The

Issued Claims in Utility Patents (PALM Data, FY2006 issues)

Summary:				
1-20	68.5%			
1-25	79.3%			
1-30	86.1%			
1-40	93.2%			
40+	6.8%			

Total			Cumulative	Total			Cumulativ
Claims	Cases with	% of Total	Percent of	Claims	Cases with	% of Total	Percent o
Issued	Claims	70 51 75151	Total	Issued	Claims		Total
1	2,427	1.5%	1.5%	51	336	0.2%	96.5%
2	3,172	2.0%	3.4%	52	334	0.2%	96.7%
3	3,751	2.3%	5.8%	53	271	0.2%	96.9%
4	5,001	3.1%	8.8%	54	295	0.2%	97.1%
5	5,041	3.1%	11.9%	55	222	0.1%	97.2%
		3.8%	15.7%	56	237	0.1%	97.4%
6	6,169		19.1%	57	238	0.1%	97.5%
7	5,537	3.4%	- 1	58	199	0.1%	97.6%
8	6,458	4.0%	23.1%	i .		0.1%	97.8%
9	5,884	3.6%	26.7%	59	182		
10	6,722	4.1%	30.9%	60	217	0.1%	97.9%
11	5,696	3.5%	34.4%	61	151	0.1%	98.0%
12	6,387	3.9%	38.3%	62	161	0.1%	98.1%
13	5,321	3.3%	41.6%	63	135	0.1%	98.2%
14	5,862	3.6%	45.2%	64	148	0.1%	98.3%
15	5,587	3.4%	48.6%	65	115	0.1%	98.3%
16	5,828	3.6%	52.2%	66	130	0.1%	98.4%
17	5.285	3.3%	55.5%	67	128	0.1%	98.5%
18	6,078	3.7%	59.2%	68	131	0.1%	98.6%
19	5,432	3.3%	62.5%	69	116	0.1%	98.6%
20	9,749	6.0%	68.5%	70	107	0.1%	98.7%
21	4,409	2.7%	71.3%	71	112	0.1%	98.8%
		2.4%	73.6%	72	122	0.1%	98.9%
22	3,846			73	68	0.04%	98.89%
23	3,242	2.0%	75.6%	74	60	0.04%	98.93%
24	3,212	2.0%	77.6%	1			98.97%
25	2,705	1.7%	79.3%	75	72	0.04%	
26	2,685	1.7%	80.9%	76	77	0.05%	99.02%
27	2,420	1.5%	82.4%	77	53	0.03%	99.05%
28	2,129	1.3%	83.7%	78	75	0.05%	99.10%
29	1,866	1.1%	84.9%	79	67	0.04%	99.14%
30	. 2,081	1.3%	86.1%	80	65	0.04%	99.18%
31	1,507	0.9%	87.1%	81	53	0.03%	99.21%
32	1,533	0.9%	88.0%	82	54	0.03%	99.259
- 33	1,366	0.8%	88.8%	83	42	0.03%	99.279
34	1,248	0.8%	89.6%	84	45	0.03%	99.309
35	1,082	0.7%	90.3%	85	38	0.02%	99.329
36	1,113	0.7%	91.0%	86	37	0.02%	99.359
37	960	0.6%	91.6%	87	38	0.02%	99.379
		0.6%	92.1%	88	42	0.03%	99.409
38	901			89	26	0.02%	99.419
39	. 826	0.5%	92.6%		45	0.02%	99.449
40	889	0.5%	93.2%	90			99.469
41	663	0.4%	93.6%	91	38	0.02%	99.467
42	686	0.4%	94.0%	92	31	0.02%	
43	545	0.3%	94.3%	93 ,	22	0.01%	99.50%
44	596	0.4%	94.7%	94	33	0.02%	99.529
45	519	0.3%	95.0%	95	21	0.01%	99.539
46	520	0.3%	95.3%	96	24	0.01%	99.549
47	406	0.2%	95.6%	97	27	0.02%	99.569
48	464	0.3%	95.9%	98	19	0.01%	99.579
49	361	0.2%	96.1%	99	22	. 0.01%	99.599
50	386	0.2%	96.3%	100+	674	0.41%	100.00
J .			-	TOTAL			
				1 1	162,508	100.00%	

(162509 in annual report)

Claims in patents issued FY 2006, utility patents only

